The Ramsar Convention on Wetlands Asia Regional Meeting on Ramsar COP9 Beijing, 13-16 May 2005



ASIAN REGIONAL MEETING ON THE CONVENTION ON WETLANDS

Beijing, 13-16TH May 2005

(http://www.ramsar.org/mtg/mtg_reg_asia2005_index.htm)

Background

A presentation and discussion session on the draft Principles for a Code of Conduct for Sustainable Management of Mangrove Ecosystems was arranged within one of the Technical Sessions on Day 2 of this regional meeting, which was organised by the Ramsar Secretariat as the third of three preparatory meeting for the Convention of the Parties (COP9), to be held in Kampala, Uganda (November 2005).

The following is a summary of the session in which the draft Principles for a Code of Conduct for Mangroves were presented and discussed.

The mangrove session was preceded by a session on the Asian Tsunami and Coastal Wetlands. This is also reported on here because of its relevance to many of the issues presented in the mangrove document (hereon referred to as "The Principles").

The Asian Tsunami Session was introduced with a presentation on the recommendations from an Asian Wetlands Symposium held in Bhubaneshwar, Orissa, India on 9th February 2005. This was followed by a presentation on Natural Mitigation of Natural Disasters. A number of comments and suggestions were also contributed by the delegates.

The AWS meeting concluded that, overall, coastal mangroves did protect shorelines hit by the Tsunami, for the following main reasons: (a) mangroves resisted the energy generated by the Tsunami; (b) mangroves reduced the amount of seawater inundation; (c) mangroves prevented people and debris from being washed out to sea as the water receded.

For example:

- In Bakongan South Aceh, 160 km approximately from the epicentre of the earthquake which generated the tsunami, *Rhizophora* mangroves and *Casuarina* trees reduced the tsunami's impact. However, nearer the epi-centre of the earthquake in Sumatra mangrove forests were completely destroyed.
- In India, the Pichavaram mangroves protected six hamlets from the tsunami, but other hamlets situated on or near open beaches were totally destroyed.
- In Ranong and Phang Nga Bay, southern Thailand, the mangrove forests saved many lives.
- The cyclone shelter belt of *Casuarina* forest in Tamil Nadu similarly helped to reduce the impact in this region of India.

Such experiences from the tsunami have raised awareness about the importance of natural coastal protection, including coral reefs, mangroves, other coastal forest types and sand dunes.

Specifically:

- The need to collate and make better use of indigenous knowledge about mangroves and other traditional coastal protection measures.
- The value of mangrove "green belts" or "bio-shields" for coastal protection, including planning and regulations for green-belts. And, corresponding with the green belt concept, to have no development zones for mangroves, supported by mangrove rehabilitation where necessary.
- The need for accurate baseline data on mangroves in relation to coastal protection; and information on mangrove planting techniques and the optimal mangrove forest area and composition for specific protection functions.

Regarding the draft principles for a Code of Conduct for mangroves, Dr Lai Guanchun summarised the views expressed by Ramsar delegates at the Regional Meetings for the Americas (Merida, Mexico) and Africa (Ashura, Tanzania).

The Americas:

Delegates from the Americas were uncertain of the status of the document under review and it arrived rather late.

There is a need for more consultation on the code, covering *inter alia* its purpose, content and ownership.

More community involvement is needed in the on-going consultation.

The delegates agreed to arrange national workshops for discussion of the document, but more time will be needed for national consultation and feedback.

Africa:

Mangrove clearance to create grazing land for livestock was raised as an important issue in Africa.

More emphasis should be given in the document to community participation and comanagement arrangements to improve management effectiveness.

On more specific topics, the delegates suggested that:

Principle 2 should evoke EIAs as a vital mechanism to protect mangroves from unnecessary or unsustainable development.

The need to protect the cultural values of mangroves should be elaborated more strongly.

The recommendation to promote green-labelling of sustainably produced mangrove products may be difficult for some African countries.

Principle 13 on mangrove education belongs better with Principle 8.

Dr Chris Gordon, Presenter of the draft Code for mangroves in Ashura, also presented proposals for a project follow up to the Code in the African sub-regions.

General comments/questions received from national and institutional delegates in Beijing on the content of the draft Principles [the response from the presenter is included in square brackets]

China:

Pleased to learn that the code's development is an on-going process and that more effort is being placed on "bottom-up" activities. The Government of China recognises the importance of coastal wetlands for natural protection of the coastline. China has more than 22,000 ha of mangroves in four southern provinces (2001 estimate). During the 19th Century Chinese brought mangroves back from SE Asia and planted them in areas such as Hainan. It is appreciated that mangrove research is important and that countries need to adapt mangrove planting techniques to suit their own particular needs and conditions (e.g. choice of species). [all these points are useful and the last comment is strongly supported, including by other speakers].

Vietnam:

The draft Principles are good and important in the context of Vietnam. It should be regarded as a guiding document only, for national use, but more consultation is needed at national level, including governmental involvement in the case of Vietnam. [Agreed, and important to follow up as Vietnam has been selected for field testing of the Principles].

The delegate from Vietnam also pointed out that the term "wise-use" (a term used in the document) does not translate well into Vietnamese.

[The Chairman explained that wise-use does have a specific meaning (which is not the same as "sustainable use") and that a new, more precise definition of wise-use would be forthcoming soon.]

The sub-heading "Cultural Issues" should be changed. The Chairman advised that "Cultural Aspects" would be a more appropriate term. [agreed to]

India:

Widespread use of the term "ecotourism" has created more interest in coastal wetlands, but the definition of ecotourism needs more consideration, also the potential impacts from tourism on wetlands. Thus ecotourism planning should carefully consider the issue of carrying capacity. [agreed to]

Regarding Principle 4, the importance of sediment dynamics in mangrove ecosystems should be included. There should also be more attention given to off-site interactions and impacts, and boundary issues. This stronger focus should include guidance on EIAs, both on- and off-site. [agreed to].

Thailand:

Regarding Principle 7 dealing with local culture and conservation, these should be linked better; see e.g. the Ramsar COP on "Cultural Values in Wetlands". [to be followed up]

Wetlands International

Reference to ICZM is missing; but the need to integrate mangrove management with coastal zone management planning overall has been made even more evident by the Asian tsunami disaster. [strongly agreed]

The recommendations from COP7 should be consulted regarding sustainable aquaculture and coastal wetlands [to be followed up]

Ramsar

Biodiversity is not specified as a Principle in the document. [Biodiversity conservation is presented as a cross-cutting issue, rather than as a specific Principle. Biodiversity is also covered by the reference to the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) and by the ecosystem approach recommended as a fundamental principle of mangrove management.]

Main Conclusions and Recommendations made regarding the status of the draft mangrove document in general, and with reference to COP9.

- The present version of the mangrove principles needs to be re-edited, based on the comments received.
- Most importantly, "article" (the original term used for each section of the draft document) has a legal implication, and therefore it was correct to change it. But simply converting "Article" to "Principle" as has been done in the draft version under review, is not an adequate solution.
- By definition, the document's "Principles" are in fact the short lead statements
 presented at the start of each section, and listed together in Annex 3. The main
 text paragraphs in each section then serve as supporting guidelines to the
 principles.
- The statements and supporting text (guidelines) should be edited into the appropriate form of language for a document of Principles, namely simple, positive sentences.

Regarding Ramsar and COP9:

- Careful consideration should be given to how the revised document is presented in Kampala at COP9.
- It is a World Bank document which meets certain objectives and needs. Its status and value to Ramsar should be clarified in the time available before COP9.
- Consideration should also be given to how the principles will be presented at COP9 (ensure a "same wavelength" position).
- Regarding the above, it should be noted that adoption by Ramsar requires lineby-line confirmation. The existing document is much too large for complete adoption.
- Consideration should be given, therefore, to adopting a much reduced version, i.e. an edited version of what are currently the lead statements (Annex 3).

Alternatively, in place of "adoption" simply "recognition" of the full document by Ramsar could be considered

Technical Session V: Code of conduct for Mangrove Forest Management

Presenter: Professor Donald J. Macintosh, Centre for Tropical Ecosystems Research

Chair; Peter Bridgewater, Secretary General Ramsar Convention Secretariat

Rapporteur: Dr A.K.Pattnaik, Chief executive Chilika development authority, India

Donald Macintosh made a presentation on mainstreaming conservation of coastal biodiversity through formulation of a code of conduct for sustainable management of mangrove ecosystem. At the outset he clarified that the project is to develop a document for a better understanding about the management of mangrove ecosystem.

He mentioned about various stages of the evolution of the project i.e. how the draft code was arrived at based on the country case studies this was followed by three regional workshops (Asian, African & Americas). This was followed by are view workshop at Washington DC. He further mentioned that following the peer of the articles individual article became guideline. He explained that based on the consensus the document was renamed as "principles for a code of conduct for the management and sustainable use of mangrove ecosystem." The 15 Articles were redesignated as Principles. He mentioned that the draft principles are put to field testing in two sites in Brazil and Vietnam. He explained that the next step would be to pass the ownership of the document to public domain.

This was followed by a brief presentation by Lee Guanchun, Advisor Asia –Pacific on the outcome of the Americas and the Africa regional meetings. He mentioned that the document reached quite late so the participants got little time to go through the document. He underscored some of the key points like EIA and more explicit explanation of the tourism and the cultural values etc. suggested in the above meetings.

Professor D Macintosh in his second presentation threw more light on the 15 principles. He clarified that the document is not a solution to every problem. The specific needs are so divergent that it is very difficult to address everything through one document.

The response from the participants;

- Simple replacement of the word Article by Principle need to be given more serious thought.
- For tourism Carrying capacity should be given due weightage.
- Financing and cost recovery mechanism.
- It should be a document of principle rather than the code of conduct.

• It should be considered bring the edited Principles main statement from the annex to the front of the document perhaps as part of the executive summary.

Specific response from the participants:

The delegate from China mentioned that the management of mangrove in China is evolved through ages. The conservation, restoration and planting of the native species have been a priority of Chinese Government. He suggested that the essence from the traditional management practices from various countries should be incorporated.

The delegate from Vietnam commented that the document should be more explicit to meet the need of the various countries to serve as a guide.

The Advisor Asia Pacific suggested that the flow of sediment might not be always considered detrimental to the mangrove vegetation. Regarding tourism he mentioned that in addition to the carrying capacity it should be it should be more explicit on the benefit to the local communities.

Dr Pattnaik mentioned that the fresh flow from the drainage basin is very important for the heath of the mangrove ecosystem. The alteration of flow upstream may have significant impact on the mangrove ecosystem. So the ecological flow and the management of drainage basin must be give due weightage.

The Secretary General suggested that since the wise use concept is going to be redefined in terms of ecosystem service delivery, so it need to be appropriately modified. He further mentioned that the mechanism for consideration of the document by the next standing committee needs to be explored. He thanked the presenter as well all the participants for the active participation.

Participants List at the Ramsar Asia Regional Meeting in China, May 13-16 2005

Name	Organisation	Contact e-mail
Mr. Arzu Mustafayeb, Head Consultant	Ministry of Ecology and Natural Resources. B.Aghayev str.100 A Baku 370033, Azerbaijan	atani@bk.ru elder.eco@box.az
Mr. Ali Kabir Haider, Conservator of Forests	Forest Department, Ministry of Environment and Forests, Ban	ccfbd@accesstel.net
OI I OIESIS	Bhaban, Mohakhali, Dhaka 1212, Bangladesh.	cf-cc@bforest.gov.bd
Dr. Sunleang Srey, Technical Staff	Department of Nature Conservation and Protection, Ministry of Environment, #48, Preah Sihanouk St., Tonle Bassac, Chamkarmon, Phnom Penh, CAMBODIA	kampongspeu@yahoo.com
Mr. Zhao Xuemin, Vice Minister of the State Forestry Administration of China		
Ms. Han Yingda	Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Beijing, P.R. China	
Ms. Yin Hong, Deputy Director General	Ramsar Convention Implementing Office, State Forestry Administration, No. 18, HePingLi Street(East), Beijing 100714, P. R. China.	
Mr. Bao Daming	Ramsar Convention Implementing Office, Director of the Wetland Conservation Division, State Forestry Administration, No. 18, HePingLi Street (East), Beijing 100714, P. R. China.	baodaming@forestry.gov.cn
Mr. Lei Kun, Deputy Director	The Wetland Conservation Division, SFA	
Ms. Liu Xin	Department of International Cooperation	liuxin@forestry.gov.cn
Mr. Chik Chuen Lay, Assistant Director (Conservation)	Agriculture, Fisheries & Conservation Department, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Government, Room 714, 7th Floor, Cheung Sha Wan Government Offices, 303 Cheung Sha Wan Rd., Shamshuipo, Kowloon, Hong Kong, P.R.China.	cc_lay@afcd.gov.hk.
Mr. Jee Keung Chan, Senior	Agriculture, Fisheries &	cc_lay@afcd.gov.hk

Wetland & Fauna Conservation Officer	Conservation Department, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Government	
Mr. M. Sridharan, First Secretary	Embassy of India, 1, Ri Tan Dong Lu, Beijing, 100600, P.R. China	fsp@indianembassy.org.cn
Mr. Bambang Sukmananto, Deputy Director of Wetland Conservation	Directorate of Area Conservation, PHPA, Ministry of Forestry, Manggala Wanabakti Bld. Block VII, 7th Floor, Ji. Gatot Subroto, Seneyan, Jakarta Pusat 10270, Indonesia	bsukmanto@yahoo.com
Mr. Yusra Khan, Counsellor	Permanent Mission of Indonesia, P.O. Box 2271, 1211 Geneva 2, Switzerland	yusrachan@yahoo.com
Ms. Elbanita Ruru, First Secretary	Embassy of Indonesia in Beijing, China	
Mr. Farhang Ghsriani, General Director	Department of Environment, Shahid Hermat Highway, Pardisan Eco- Park, Hemmat Highway, P.O.Box. 5181, Tehran 15875, Iran	farhangghasrian@yahoo.com
Ms. Yasaman Rajabkhah Shalmany, Wetland Expert	Natural Environment & Biodiversity Section, Department of the Environment, Shahid Hermat Highway, Pardisan Eco Park, Study Center for Environment, Tehran15875, Iran.	yasaman_rajabkhah@yahoo.com
Mr. Masoud Bagherzadeh Karimi, Senior Wetlands Expert	Natural Environment & Biodiversity Section, Department of the Environment, Shahid Hermat Highway, Pardisan Eco Park, Study Center for Environment, Tehran15875, Iran	mbkarimi@yahoo.com
Dr. Reuven Ortal, Director	Aquatic Ecology Department, Israel Natural and National Parks Protection Authority, 3 Am VeOlamo St. Givat Shoul, Jerusalem 95463, ISRAEL	reuven.ortal@nature-parks.org.il
Mr. Chihiro Atsumi, Minister	Embassy of Japan in the P.R. China, 7 Ri Tan Road Jian Guo Men Wai, Beijing, P.R.China	
Ms. Noriko-Moriwake	Wildlife Division, Nature Conservation Bureau, Ministry of the Environment, Kasumigaseki 1-2- 2, Chiyoda-Ku, Tokyo 100-8975, JAPAN	NORIKO_MORIWAKE@env.go.jp

Mr. Byoung-og Cho, Director Mr. Seukoo Kang, Deputy	Global Environment Office, Ministry of Environment, Government Complex Gwacheon, 1 Joongang-Dong, Gwacheon-si, Kyunngi-Do 427 729, REPUBLIC OF KOREA Global Environment Office, Ministry	jobo@me.go.kr hoppy2000@me.go.kr
Director	of Environment, Government Complex Gwacheon, 1 Joongang- Dong, Gwacheon-si, Kyunngi-Do 427 729, REPUBLIC OF KOREA	порру2000 @ те.до.кі
Dr. Eui Joon Park, National Project Coordinator	UNDP/GEF National Wetland Conservation Project, National Institute of Environmental Research (NIER), Environmental Research Complex, Kyongse-dong, Seo-goo, Inchon, 404-170, Republic of Korea	ejpark@me.go.kr
Dr. Surappaeva Venera, Chief	Department of Monitoring and Intercooperation, State Forestry Service of the Kyrgyz Republic, Str. Toktogula 228, 72001 Bishkek, Kyrgyz Republic	forest@bishkek.gov.kg surappaeva@hotbox.ru
Mr. Assaad B. Saadeh, Geological Engineer & Hydrologist	Ministry of Environemnt, Azarieh Bldg. – P.O. Box 11-2727, Beirut, LEBANON	a.saadeh@moe.gov.lb
Mr. Saharaudin Anan, Principal Assistant Director	Protected Areas Division, Department of Wildlife and National Parks, Peninsular Malaysia, KM.10, Jalan Cheras, 56100 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia	pakp@wildlife.gov.my
Mr. Hj Hashim Hj Yusoff, Director	Johor National Parks Corporation, JKR 475, Bukit Timbalan, 80503 Johor Bahru, Malaysia	hashimhy@yahoo.com
Mr. Harban Singh, Park Manager	Johor Ramsar Sites, Johor National Parks Corporation, JKR 475, Bukit Timbalan, 80503 Johor Bahru, Malaysia.	sharban@pd.jaring.my
Mr. Aziz Che Man	Jabatan Perhilitan Negeri Selangor Darul Ehsan, Tingkat 3, Podium Utara, Bangunan SSAAS, 40660 Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia	aziz@wildlife.gov.my
Mr. Ulambayar Barbarikh, Member	Ramsar National Committee, Ministry of Nature and Environment, Government House No. 3, Baga Toiruu – 44, Ulaanbaatar 11, MONGOLIA	mne@mongol.net
Mr. Narayan Prashani Poudel, Deputy Director General	Dept. of National Parks and Wildlife Conservation, Ministry of Forest and Soil Conservation, GPO 860,	dnpwc@bdcin.wlink.com.np

	Kathmandu, NEPA	
Mr. Naeem Ashraf Raja, Assistane Inspector General of Forests	Ministry of Environment, Government of Pakistan, CDA Block - IV, Sector G-6 Markaz, Islamabad, Pakistan	naeemashrafraja@yahoo.com
Ms. Marlynn Mendoza, Supervising Ecosystem Management Specialist	Protected Areas and Wildlife Bureau, Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Quezon Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City 1101, PHILIPPINES	mmendoza@i-manila.com.ph
Mr. R.M. Wasantha Rathnayake, Deputy Director in charge of Protected Area Management	Department of Wildlife Conservation, 18 Gregory's Road, Colombo 07, SRI LANKA	wasantha@dwlc.lk
Mr. Mohamad Walid Issa, Advisor	Ministry of Irrigation, P.O. Box 4451, Damascus, SYRIA	mamoun-m@scs-net.org
Dr. Kokul Kasirov, Director General	State Directorate of Protected	kokul@tojikiston.com
General	Areas, "Tajik National Park", State Committee on Environment Conservation and Forestry, Republic of Tajikistan, Address: PO Box 138, Dushanbe 734025, Republic of Tajikistan	eldar@tajik.net
Ms. Nirawan Pipitsombat, Deputy Chief	Senior Environmentalist, Biodiversity Bureau, Office of Natural Resources and Environmental Policy and Planning, 60/1 Soi Pibulwattaua F, Roman Road, Banakok 1004 Thailand	nirawan_p@hotmail.com nirawanpipitsombat@yahoo.com
Mr. Aleksandr Grigoryants, Deputy Chief	Biological Control Department, State Committee for Nature Protection, 99, A. Temur Street, 700084 Tashkent, Uzbekistan	envconf@uzsci.net infor@uznature.uz
Ms. Viet Hong Pham Dinh, Senior Expert	Vietnam Environment Protection Agency (VEPA), Ministry of Natural Resources & Environment (MONRE), 67 Nguyen Du Street, Hanoi, VIET NAM	viethong@hn.vnn.vn
Mr. Thet Tun, Par Warden	Moeyingi Wetland Wildlife Sanctuary, Nature & WildlifeConservation Division, Forest Department, Bayintnaung Road, West Gyongone, Insein, Yangon 11011, Myanmar	dg.fd@mptmail.net.mm nwcd-cas@mptmail.net.mm
Mr. Ghulam Mustafa Jawad, Deputy Minister	Ministry of Agriculture & Livestock, Jamal Mena, Kabul, Afghanistan	mostfa_jawad@yahoo.com
Mr. Raling Ngawang Drukdra, Deputy Director of Forests	Nature Conservation Division Forestry, Ministry of Agriculture,	drukara@yahoo.com

	Thimphu, Royal Government of Bhutan	
Ms. Haji Ibrahim Noralinda, Head of Parks & Biodiversity	Forestry Department, Ministry of Industry and Primary Resources, Jalan Menteri Besar, Brunei Darussalam	nlindaibrahim@hotmail.com
Mr. Yernur Aitkenov, Deputy Head	Administration of International Cooperation, Ministry of Environmental Protection, 31, Pobeda Avenue, Room 406, Astana City 010000, Republic of Kazakhstan	ums@nature.kz
Mr. Phommakhoth Somsanouk, Head of Environmental Affair Division	Science Technology and Environment Agency, P. O. Box 2279, Lao PDR	som_nouk@yahoo.com.
Mr. Md. Ibrahim Al-Salama, President of Animal Study Division	National Commission for Wildlife Conservation and Development, P.O.Box 61681, Riyadh 11575, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia	
Mr. Abdul Ghani Ali Musaeed, Ramsar Convention Coordinator	Environment Protection Authority, P.O. Box 19719 Cairo Street, Sana'a, Republic of Yemen	mosaed2003@yahoo.com
Mr. Dave Pritchard, International Treaties Advisor	Birdlife International, c/o RSPB, The Lodge, Sandy, Bedfordshire SG19 2DL, United Kingdom	dave.pritchard@rspb.org.uk
Ms. Kanitha Krishnasamy, Policy Officer	Malaysian Nature Society (Local Partner), JKR 641 Jalan Kelantan, Bukit Persekutuan, 50480 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.	mns@streamyx.com
Mr. Denis Landenbergue, Manager, Wetlands Conservation	Global Freshwater Programme, WWF – International, Avenue du Mont Blanc, 1196 Gland, Switzerland	dlandenbergue@wwfint.org
Mr. Wang Limin, Senior Prgramme Officer	Freshwater & marine Programme, WWF China, Room 901, Changsheng Building, No 126 Jiangshan Road, Wuhan 430014, Hubei Province, P.R.China	Imwang@wwfchina.org
Mr. Gang Lei, PA Coordinator	WWF HSBC Yangtze Programme, Room 901, Changsheng Building, No.126 Jianghan Road, Wuhan, Hubei Province, P.R of China	
Mr. Xianji Wen, Senior Training Officer	Wetland Management, WWF Hong Kong, Peter Scott Field Studies Center, Mai Po Nature Reserve, Mai Po, Yuen Long, Hong Kong, P.R.China	xjwen@wwf.org.hk

Dr. Lew Young, General Manager	Mai Po Nature Reserve, WWF Hong Kong, Peter Scott Field Studies Center, Mai Po Nature Reserve, Mai Po, Yuen Long, Hong Kong, P.R. China	lyoung@wwforg.hk
Mr. Douglas Watkins	Wetlands International – Oceania, GPO Box 787, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia	doug.watkins@wetlands- oceania.org
Dr. Taej Mundkur, Coordinator	Wetlands International-South Asia, Swarankit, Plot No 6, Mahatma Housing Society, Kothrud, Pune City-411 029, India	dmundkur@dataone.in taejmundkur.wi@vsnl.net
Alvin LOPEZ, Wetland Ecologist	Mekong Wetlands Biodiversity Conservation and Sustainable Use Programme, IUCN - The World Conservation Union,PO Box 4340, 16 Fa Ngum Road, Vientiane, Lao PDR.	alvin.mwbp@iucnlao.org

